

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8
9 DANIEL W. KINARD,

10 Petitioner,

11 v.

12 FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS,

13 Respondent.

14 Case No. 1:14-cv-00730-SMS HC

15 ORDER TRANSFERRING THIS CASE TO
16 THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
17 WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

18
19
20
21
22 On April 23, 2014, Petitioner Daniel W. Kinard filed this *pro se* petition for writ of habeas
23 corpus in the District Court for the District of Columbia. Since the proper respondent was the
24 Warden of the United States Penitentiary in Atwater, California, where Petitioner was then confined,
25 the District Court for the District of Columbia ordered the case transferred to this District. In the
meantime, however, Petitioner was transferred to the Federal Detention Center in Oakdale,
Louisiana.

26 A habeas action is subject to jurisdictional and statutory limitations. *See Braden v. 30th*
27 *Judicial Circuit Court of Kentucky*, 410 U.S. 484 (1973). The proper respondent in a habeas corpus
action is the warden of the institution in which the petitioner is confined. *Rumsfield v. Padilla*, 542
U.S. 426, 434 (2004). In this case, following Petitioner's recent transfer, the proper respondent is the
Warden of the Federal Detention Center in Oakdale, Louisiana. Because the habeas petition must be

1 reviewed by the district court in the district where the petitioner is confined (*United States v.*
2 *Giddings*, 740 F.2d 770, 772 (9th Cir. 1984)), this Court must transfer the petition to the District
3 Court for Western District of Louisiana.

4 Accordingly, the Court hereby ORDERS that this case be TRANSFERRED to the United
5 States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana.
6

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.
8

9 Dated: June 17, 2014

/s/ Sandra M. Snyder
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28